

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/272,810	03/19/1999	RICHARD J. CARTER	10982056-1	6119	
75	90 08/23/2002				
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER		
IPA 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD P.O. BOX 272400			LUU, LE HIEN		
FORT COLLINS, CO 80528-9599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2152 DATE MAILED: 08/23/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	100			
' ' Advisory Action	09/272,810	CARTER ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Le H Luu	2152				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED on 08/14/2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the name SIX MONTHS from the mailing date	of the final rejection				
706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	ne fee. The appropriate extent the final Office action: or (nsion fee under 2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: Applicant introduced additional limitations that required further search and consideration.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set	or reconsideration has been cor see Continuation Sheet.	nsidered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or ⁄ould be rejected is provided be	b)☐ will be entered a elow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-21.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disar	proved by the Exami	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme						
10. Other:	, , , , , ,	Tunker	W			
		LE HIEN PRIMARY EX	LUU (AMINER			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments presented by applicant have been addressed by Examiner in Final office action paper # 8.

LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER